John Fram

ECS Configuration Change Request						ra	ge 1 of	/ P	age(s)	
1. Originator 2. Log Date: William Jew/R. Dharia			3. CCR#:			. Rev: 5. Tel:		6. Off:		7. Org.
		98	98-10	089	-	i	(0510 / XC	)498	3204E	DEV
8. Title of Change: Installation of Building Accessory -BX 5.0 on SUN & SGI platform in IDG cell										
Originator Signature	-	10. Date:		44 01		40 =				
Thair Ray 10 19			198	11. Clas	/N CCR		/pe: 13. Need Date: 10/19/9			10/19/98
14. Office Manager Signature			Date:	16. Date CCB Decision Needed		-1.		tegory of 18. Prior		
J. Sant			16/8/98 10/13/				Change: Urgent Update Baseline			nt
19. Documentation/Drawing			20. Schedu	le Impa	pact: 21. Cl(s) Affec		s) Affecti	ed: N/A		
5B										
22. Release Affected:	<del></del>		3. Date due		Impl. E	Date:	25. E	ffectivity	/ of	26. Est.
5B			Customer: N/A 10/			9/98		Change:		Cost None
27. Source Reference: ☐NCR (attach) ☐Action item ☐ GSFC CCR ☐Tech Ref. ☑Other:										
				_		_				
28. Description of Change	: (use additio	nal Sheets	if necessar.	· <u>·</u>			<u> </u>			
Without the upgrade of BX 5.0	0, the SGI build	d for MDA wi	ill be unsucc	essful. This	is due t	to a libra	ary in EP/	AK not co	ompatible	e with the
current version of BX 4.0/BX3.5.1. Plus, BX4.0 is Y2K compliant while BX3.5.1 is not. However, BX4.0 come off the shelf is already intergrated with EPAK2.5. This version of EPAK that is intergrated with BX4.0 will cause SGI build unsuccessful.										
intergrated with CPAN2.5.	iis version or t	EFAN MANIS	intergrated v	WILLI DA4.U W	viii caus	se 5G11	ouila unst	iccesstui.		
20 Proposed Colutions (up	a additional c	shoots if no								
29. Proposed Solution: (us Installation of Building Access				IDG cell						
Installation of Building Accessory -BX 5.0 on SUN & SGI platform in IDG cell. SUN machines: minitaur/Clyde										
SGI machines in IDG cell: drp	epper/cle6sgi									
30. Alternate Solution: (use additional sheets if necessary)										
We could unintergrate EPAK 2.5 out of BX4.0 and try to intergrate EPAK3.0 into BX4.0. However, there is no guaranteed that this would be successful and may not be easy to do.										
would be successful and may not be easy to do.										
31. Consequences if Change	e(s) are not a	ipproved: (	use addition	al sheets it	fneces	ssarv)				
31. Consequences if Change(s) are not approved: (use additional sheets if necessary) This may impact the delivery schedule of Drop 5B.										
32. Does Change Affect An	v of the follow	ving (Please	Explain on	additional	sheet)					
32. Does Change Affect Any of the following (Please Explain on additional sheet):  ☐Maintenance Training ☐Performance ☐Operation Training ☐Safety ☐Service ☐Support ☐Test ☐Baseline (XRP) ☐Y2K Compliance										
33. Organization(s) Affect	ted: CM	Clear	rcase SG [	Contracts	[	Chief	Eng [	]FOS		]M&O
		Rel De		Procuremen		RTSC		Sci. Data B	Eng □	Security
24 Cita(a) Affactade MED		ev. Sys. E		Sys Verf Ac		Other	NOIDO		<u> </u>	7
34. Site(s) Affected: ⊠ED	C ⊟Other	AAC UVA	TO LEDO	□GSFC	∐∟ан	(C []	NSIDC	∐5MC	∐AK [	_JJPL
		licensi	- were	s with	Eì	)S ( ×	<del>-                                    </del>	36. Work	< Assign	ed To:
35. Board Comments: (100 50 year). also o	o Via	EDS	>			_				
J / L										
37. Release Authorized (For CM Use Only):   Yes No 38. CM Verified/Signature and Date										
39. EDF/REL2 CCB Chair (S	ign/Date):	40.	Disposition	: App	VAn/(	2	41. ESD	IS ERB (	Concurre	ence:
Hand R. Comet		20/	DisAppr			1				
		28	Fwd/EC	S □Fwd/	ESDIS	3				
42. ECS CCB Chair (Sign/Da	ate):		isposition:		A/C _		44. CCF	Closed	Date:	
			DisApproved	d∐ Withd	raw[]					
		1				1				

CM01 August 27, 1998

**ORIGINAL** 

ECS/EDF/REL2 CCB